Sport and Sports Betting Integrity Action Plan
2019

Britain’s approach to address risks to the integrity of sport and sports
Introduction

1. The Sport and Sports Betting Integrity Action Plan (the Plan) outlines Britain’s approach to address risks to the integrity of sport and sports betting and how we protect our national and international reputation for being a safe place to enjoy both. The corrupt manipulation of sport events and related betting markets is often referred to as match-fixing1.

2. The first Plan was published in September 2015 and is the foundation of Britain’s strategy to protect betting integrity. In line with our commitment to an annual review, revised versions have been published annually along with progress reports. Documentation on the SBIF can be found on the Sports Betting Integrity Forum website.

3. The strategy outlines expected focus of agencies, sports governing bodies (SGBs), player associations, betting operators and government in delivering timely and effective actions to identify and control risks associated with match-fixing and sports betting integrity.

4. It builds on the progress made since the publication of the Report of the Sports Betting Integrity Panel in January 2010 that provided the initial roadmap for action. The strategy also progressed Action 31 of the UK Anti-Corruption Plan, published in October 20142. A progress update on the UK Anti-Corruption Plan was published in May 2016. 3

5. The 2019 edition builds on our work to date, continuing the collaborative approach to prevent and protect against match fixing and sports betting related corruption. It also includes relevant updates and new priorities.

6. We also reflect the objectives and approach of the Council of Europe’s Convention4 on the Manipulation of Sports Competitions (known as the Macolin Convention). Britain signed the Macolin Convention (the Convention) on 6 December 20185.

7. Article 13 of the Convention sets out what is expected from signatories in terms of establishing a national platform. The key operating principles of a national platform are to:
   a. Coordinate the fight against the manipulation of sports competitions;
   b. Identify and coordinate the actions of key partners; and
   c. Collect, assess and exchange information to support the collective and individual partner’s efforts.

---

1 The Council of Europe’s Convention on the Manipulation of Sports Competitions defines manipulation of sports competitions as “an intentional arrangement, act or omission aimed at improper alteration of the result or the course of a sports competition in order to remove all or part of the unpredictable nature of the aforementioned sports competition with a view to obtaining an undue advantage for oneself or for others”
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8. The Sports Betting Integrity Forum (SBIF) and the Sports Betting Intelligence Unit (SBIU) will continue to function as Britain’s national platform. More information on the SBIF and SBIU can be found in Part 1 of the SBI Plan.

9. Britain will consider ratification of the Convention once our relationship with the European Union is understood.

10. We will also contribute to delivery priority 3 of the Anti-Corruption Strategy, which aims to “promote integrity across public and private sectors”. This includes “greater integrity in domestic and international sport”.

11. The Gambling Commission (the Commission) acts as the lead agency for the UK approach to combating the sports betting integrity risk. Working with DCMS and relevant national and international bodies, the Commission ensures that the Plan is coordinated with UK government sports integrity policies and strategies. This includes “Sporting Future: A new strategy for an active nation” published in 2015.

What is meant by the manipulation of sports events or match-fixing?

12. It is the corrupt manipulation of a sporting event by those participating, officiating, organising or coaching, with the intention of producing a result or occurrence which benefits the corrupter and/or their associates. The benefit may be a financial reward related to the deception of operators or other bettors through sports betting.

13. Match-fixing corruption can also result in benefit to individuals or teams by gaining advantage in tournaments, leagues and/or competitions. Such actions may not necessarily involve betting and could be a breach of sports codes or regulations, if relevant clauses and/or conditions are in place.

Why is prevention so important?

14. Sport and sports betting rely upon high standards of integrity. Match-fixing and related betting corruption undermines the ethos, reputation and commercial viability of sports and betting businesses. It can have an impact on the social, political and economic benefits derived from sports and sports betting. It tarnishes the reputation of all athletes and has impact upon Britain’s reputation as a safe environment within which to host sporting events and conduct gambling related business.

The sports and sports betting integrity action plan: Overview and purpose

15. The purpose of the Plan is to put in place a framework of actions to:

   a. Prevent sporting events and licensed sporting betting markets from being corrupted.
   b. Deterring persons from organising corrupt sports events to manipulate betting markets

---
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16. We do not believe that we have an endemic problem in Britain, although we have continued to experience instances of sports integrity issues and corruption of betting markets. We believe the threat is on-going and we need to both prevent and be prepared to act purposefully when it is revealed.

17. The Plan recognises the need for key stakeholders to plan for and act within their areas of responsibility, support others where appropriate and work in unison as part of the broader national platform; this is the basis of Britain’s strategic approach. A crucial aspect of this is to continue to develop a culture of co-operation and co-ordinated actions, which is reflected in the terms of reference of the SBIF, which has responsibility for delivery of the Plan.

18. The Plan also reflects the continual need to be vigilant and recognise that Britain is not immune from external influences. Our successes to date in identifying and responding to the threats reflects the learning and experience gained from individual cases.

19. Our success also builds on the benefits arising from information sharing and collaborations between sports, licensed betting operators and agencies in Britain and increasingly with overseas jurisdictions. We will continue to develop our understanding of emerging threats by undertaking focussed, collaborative risk assessments. Any specific outputs from these assessments will be incorporated into the work of the SBIF.

20. There can be no complacency; those responsible for corrupting the integrity of sports and sports betting are resilient and resourceful and our efforts need to be sustained.

21. The threat to Britain has international dimensions because of the global nature of sport, betting and communications. Match-fixing can involve serious organised crime networks operating at national and international level using sophisticated technologies and organisational structures. Consistent with the “Pursue, Prepare, Protect and Prevent” delivery framework as outlined in the Serious and Organised Crime Strategy prevention in combination with disruption and deterrence plays a key role in our approach.

22. Through the Commission we will continue to engage with the Council of Europe, working with the Macolin Convention’s Secretariat. This will ensure Britain’s interests are represented in activities that support the implementation of the Convention in Europe and beyond. We will also continue to work with the Group of Copenhagen and relevant Network Groups to enable the sharing of intelligence and information across jurisdictions.

23. Match-fixing is also on occasions local in nature and opportunistic. There is a need to identify and manage both. Whilst the localised instances may involve relatively small sums of money such actions still have the potential to undermine the reputation of the sport, sports betting and the reputation of the majority of athletes.

24. We know that illegal or unregulated betting makes the detection of sports betting corruption much harder to identify and address. We will continue to promote the

---
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benefits of effective regulation. We will work to address illegal gambling at home supporting the Gambling Commission’s strategic objective to prevent gambling being a source of crime or disorder and ensuring it is fair and open. We will also work towards a better understanding of the impacts of international grey and black markets.

25. The threat of match-fixing presents an unceasing challenge for the leadership and culture of sports and sports betting to identify and implement concrete actions to prevent and deter those seeking to corrupt. This requires longer term commitment to the development of competencies and approaches.

26. The longer term challenges remain for public authorities and government in tackling match-fixing to understand the complex nature of the issues. There is also the need to take effective approaches to combat the associated criminality, corruption and money laundering, working closely with others. It requires government to align sports, sports betting and criminal justice. Policies are required that are focused upon tackling corruption in sports and sports betting and also on the protection of Britain’s reputation and influence.

27. In the next section, part 1 gives an overview of what the Plan aims to achieve. Part 2 outlines the specific actions that will be undertaken by key stakeholders in 2019.
Part 1- Sports betting integrity action plan

A - The strategic approach

I. Activity will be taken at a national level by government, the Commission and other agencies, by SGBs, by Player and Membership Associations and betting operators and organisations with the objectives of:

- Sustaining the SBIF to develop and deliver the Plan through both individual activities and coordinated responses to joint issues.
- Working to develop risk assessments to support prevention and deterrence activities by the key partners.
- Taking action at partner levels, focusing upon developing preventative measures within sports and betting operators’ organisations to raise awareness of the threats, promoting a culture of resistance.
- Providing channels to enable those that wish to provide information, the opportunity to do so securely.
- Being information led and having effective exchange arrangements that operate between public authorities and private organisations, including working with Government towards solutions to address any impacts of Brexit that may threaten Britain’s ability to protect against betting integrity risks.
- Promoting sports and betting operators’ governance arrangements and cultures that produce effective risk management strategies.
- Establishing complementary and effective disciplinary frameworks within SGBs and betting operators, that are capable of being enacted concurrently with gambling regulation and criminal justice action.
- Contributing to and learning from international developments and operational collaborations.
- Coordinating national policy development in international contexts. Specifically, this will involve working with and supporting the development of the Council of Europe’s Group of Copenhagen and Keep Crime out of Sport + project. We will also aim to be part of the Convention Follow Up Committee once the UK has ratified the Macolin Convention.

B - The Sports Betting Integrity Forum

II. The Forum will;

- Have oversight of members’ and partners’ individual and collective actions in developing and implementing Britain’s SBI Action Plan.
- Support and develop the activities at an organisational and national level to deliver the Plan.
- Debate issues and identify potential solutions
- Progress issues of common concern through sub groups reporting to the Forum.

III. The Forum will comprise senior representatives of the key partners and have a rotating chair. The secretariat is the responsibility of the Gambling Commission (the Commission). The Terms of Reference for the SBIF can be found on their website

C – Sport (including Sports Governing Bodies and Player Associations working under the auspices of the Sports Betting Group)
IV. Good leadership and governance are recognised as essential conditions for addressing the challenges to integrity that match-fixing creates. Recognising there is no single model, Sports Governing Bodies and Player Associations will, under the auspices of the Sports Betting Group, work to:

- ensure that the principles of democracy, inclusiveness, transparency and accountability in decision-making are embodied within their sport,
- develop an understanding of the threats to the integrity of their sport that they face,
- develop effective risk management arrangements
- be seen to maintain the integrity of their sport and
- Work with each other to develop best practices to be shared amongst all sports

V. Education and other preventative measures play a key part in tackling threats to integrity. They form an essential part of the roles played by SGBs, Player Associations and sports bodies, their effectiveness being linked with clear rules, fair and proportionate sanctions and good governance.

Sport's National Governing Bodies and Player Associations will, under the auspices of the Sports Betting Group, work to:

- Develop and deliver educational programmes to raise awareness and knowledge of athletes, coaches, officials and those in governance roles as to the threats posed by those that would corrupt the integrity of sport from within or outside the sport.
- Encourage sports leaders and elite athletes to act as role models in their sport and support players in having a voice in the development and delivery of education and deterrence strategies
- Ensure that their codes of conduct, betting rules and contractual provisions establish clear frameworks to enable sanctions to be applied.
- Take steps to ensure all participants are aware of their responsibilities outlined in codes of conduct, betting rules and contractual provisions and the implications of potential breaches
- Establish the policies and capabilities that enable them to identify and respond to match-fixing and corruption issues.
- Have clear processes for reporting, information gathering, exchange and investigation, which will include clear reporting mechanisms for sport related issues.
- Ensure that commercial arrangements with gambling operators or others do not create a conflict of interest with regards to the prevention and deterrence of sports betting corruption. Where commercial arrangements exist with sports betting operators, sport will ensure these are with appropriately licensed operators with adequate monitoring and reporting mechanisms of suspicious betting.

D - Licensed sports betting operators

VI. Licensed betting operators have obligations under the Gambling Act 2005 to ensure that sports betting is not associated with or used to support crime.
VII. This action plan recognises that licensed betting operators will have effective risk management arrangements that, in addition to their individual regulatory obligations, contribute to the collective effort.

VIII. As such, licensed betting operators will work to:

- Prevent sports betting being associated with crime and/or corruption.
- Provide information on irregular betting and/or suspicious sports events quickly to the Gambling Commission’s SBIU and where possible provide the relevant SGBs with sufficient information to conduct an effective investigation in accordance with the provisions of Licence Condition 15.19.
- Include specific rules and provisions within their customer terms and conditions that highlight that personal information may be shared with regulators or relevant sports body where there are suspicions of involvement in match-fixing or breach of sports regulations.
- Raise the awareness of match-fixing and the misuse of insider information with their employees and implement contractual rules with sanctions for employees acting inappropriately.
- Ensure that commercial arrangements with sports do not create a conflict of interest with regards to the prevention and identification of sports betting corruption.

E - The Gambling Commission

IX. The Commission will take steps to ensure that the regulatory system ensures that licensed sports betting operators will contribute to preventing sports betting from being a source of or associated with match-fixing or corruption. The Commission will support the Plan by ensuring that;

- Only operators suitable in terms of their integrity and competence will be licensed as sports betting operators and remain so.
- Operators identifying possible match-fixing/corrupt activity report this promptly to the Commission and where appropriate to SGBs.

X. The Commission will be responsible for the development and operation of the Sports Betting Intelligence Unit (SBIU), the confidential reporting facility and the Crimestoppers facility.

XI. The Commission is the UK’s lead agency and in working closely with DCMS in the development and delivery of the Plan will;

- Provide the secretariat for Sports Betting Integrity Forum, its workstreams and engagement with and between members
- Maintain and administer the communications portal to facilitate communications between SBIF partners.

---
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• Engage with government and agencies (including UK Anti-Doping) both at home and abroad to ensure that betting integrity/match-fixing plans are aligned to relevant national and international strategies
• Where appropriate, support SGBs and Player Associations in the development of education programmes and promote the role of the SBIU.
• Engage with relevant international bodies, regulators, SGBs and organisations to influence and ensure alignment with international sports betting integrity related policy and operational procedures.
• Investigate potential criminal activity and where appropriate take relevant action to use their powers to bring prosecutions under the Gambling Act 2005.

F - The Sports Betting Intelligence Unit (SBIU)

XII. The Commission’s SBIU contributes to the Commission’s strategic priorities. It does this by supporting and facilitating collaboration across operators and other agencies concerned with raising standards in relation to sports betting integrity. It also strives to foster national and international standards where it is clearly in the interests of British consumers to do so. SBIU, supported by the SBIF, will act as the hub of the national platform. It will in accordance with British law, inter alia:

XIII. The SBIU’s focus is on collecting and analysing information and intelligence relating to potentially criminal activity related to sports betting integrity where that activity;

• relates to a sporting event that occurred in Great Britain, and/ or
• involves parties\(^{10}\) based within Great Britain, and/ or
• actively occurred under a Gambling Commission licence.

XIV. The SBIU will develop intelligence to inform investigative decision making on the prosecution or disruption of criminal offences or regulatory action under the Gambling Act.

XV. Ensure that information to assist the prevention or mitigation of match-fixing risk is appropriately collected, assessed and where relevant efficiently communicated to:

• SGBs, law enforcement, agencies and licensed operators,
• Non-British parties, (e.g. international law enforcement agencies, regulators and sports organisations,) to assist preventative or deterrent action.

XVI. Support operational coordination with partners to ensure an early and effective response to emergent issues.

G - Law Enforcement

XVII. Law Enforcement (LE), here comprising of the National Police Chiefs’ Council (NPCC), Police Scotland (PS) and the National Crime Agency (NCA), plays a key role in deterring match-fixing and supporting others’ efforts in doing so. LE recognises that match-fixing may involve organised criminality at local, national and international levels.

\(^{10}\) Examples of ‘Parties’ could include participants as defined by a sports governing body, employees of a betting operator, employees of a TV or telecoms company etc
XVIII. The focus of LE activity will be upon the investigation of serious and organised criminal activity. LE will share information with others where it is appropriate to do so.

XIX. LE and the Gambling Commission will sustain secure information-sharing arrangements and will contribute to each other’s efforts through tasking processes.

XX. LE representatives will be members of the Sports Betting Integrity Forum (SBIF) and will participate in arrangements, coordinated through the SBIU, to ensure a timely response to emerging issues identified by relevant partners.

XXI. LE will engage with the betting integrity points of contact in the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) and the Procurator Fiscal (Scotland) to maintain management arrangements to support effective investigation and prosecution of sports betting integrity cases.

H - Government

XXII. The Department for Culture Media and Sport (DCMS) is the lead government department for the SBI Action Plan. DCMS will;

- Co-ordinate actions that require cross-government involvement relating to national anti-corruption measures.
- Keep under review the UK’s legislative arrangements to prevent sports betting corruption.
- Ensure that the appropriate level of prioritisation is given to sports betting corruption matters by the Gambling Commission and all relevant law enforcement bodies and agencies.
- DCMS, in collaboration with the Commission, will support, as appropriate, the implementation by overseas Governments and relevant international bodies of effective regulatory measures to prevent sports and sports betting corruption, and to promote effective coordination on a cross-border basis.
- Engage with European and international institutions on the development of sport and betting policy initiatives to ensure that Britain’s interests in addressing threats to sports betting integrity and match-fixing are reflected.
- Monitoring and reporting on progress on the relevant priorities within the Home Office Anti-Corruption Strategy
- Take the lead in meeting the commitment for Britain to ratify the Macolin Convention.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Objective and Action</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><strong>Education Programmes</strong>&lt;br&gt;To include:&lt;br&gt;1. Research methods to evaluate education programmes to identify the most effective techniques to help prevent and deter against involvement in betting integrity issues.&lt;br&gt;2. Review the reach of education programmes to ensure focus across all tiers of sport&lt;br&gt;3. Develop good practice standards for betting integrity education in the betting industry.</td>
<td>1- Hayley Green – England and Wales Cricket Board&lt;br&gt;2- Leigh Thompson – Sport and Recreation Alliance&lt;br&gt;3 - Matt Fowler - ESSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><strong>Black and Grey Markets</strong>&lt;br&gt;Commission research on black and grey markets to understand the impacts on British sport and sports betting, with a view to developing a strategy to manage the risks to betting integrity.</td>
<td>Eric Konings – Kindred&lt;br&gt;Chris Watts – British Horseracing Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><strong>Links to Academia</strong>&lt;br&gt;Improve strategic links to Academia to transfer and receive knowledge and research with the aim of developing a strong evidence base for developing new initiatives to help mitigate against the threats to betting integrity and corruption.</td>
<td>Hayley Green - England and Wales Cricket Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><strong>Sharing Knowledge and Good Practice</strong>&lt;br&gt;Host workshop(s) to promote good practice and promote sharing of knowledge and Information across stakeholder groups.</td>
<td>Lorraine Pearman – Gambling Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><strong>Protecting Integrity after Brexit</strong>&lt;br&gt;Work with Government towards solutions to address any impacts of Brexit that may threaten Britain’s ability to protect against betting integrity risks.</td>
<td>Alys Lewis – Rugby Football Union</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>